## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

LANALSIKOU LOWE,

ı

Plaintiff.

ORDER

Case No. 2:18-cv-02134-JAD-DJA

v.

METRO POLICE DEPARTMENT, ET AL.,

Defendants.

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Amend/Correct the Complaint ECF No. 6 (ECF No. 20), filed on July 15, 2020. Any response was due by August 21, 2020. However, the Court notes that it does not appear as though the only defendant, Floyd, has been served with the initial Complaint.

Rule 15(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, regarding the amendment of pleadings, directs that "[t]he court should freely give leave when justice so requires." The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has repeatedly cautioned courts in this circuit to "liberally allow a party to amend its pleading." *Sonoma Cnty. Ass'n of Ret. Emps. v. Sonoma Cnty.*, 708 F.3d 1109, 1117 (9th Cir. 2013). "Courts may decline to grant leave to amend only if there is strong evidence of 'undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, or futility of amendment, etc." *Id.* at 1117 (*quoting Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962)).

Here, Plaintiff filed the instant Motion prior to the expiration of the deadline to amend pleadings or add parties, which has not yet been set, and prior to the service or appearance of any defendant in this action. However, Plaintiff has failed to attach a copy of the proposed First Amended Complaint as required by the Local Rules. Plaintiff merely indicates that instead of

## Case 2:18-cv-02134-JAD-DJA Document 22 Filed 08/25/20 Page 2 of 2

only naming Floyd, the complaint should be amended to list all DOE defendants. Without any copy of the proposed amended complaint to review and with the paucity of information regarding Plaintiff's reasons for amending, the Court does not find that the good cause standard has been met. If Plaintiff chooses to amend the complaint, Plaintiff is informed that the Court cannot refer to a prior pleading (i.e., the original complaint) in order to make the amended complaint complete. This is because, as a general rule, an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. Local Rule 15-1(a) requires that an amended complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior pleading. Once a plaintiff files an amended complaint, the original complaint no longer serves any function in the case. Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each Defendant must be sufficiently alleged.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion to Amend/Correct the Complaint ECF No. 6 (ECF No. 20) is **denied without prejudice**.

DATED: August 25, 2020.

DANIEL J. ALBREGTS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE